



Review

**Evaluating a Novel Subcision Approach Using Blunt Cannula with Tumescence
Anesthesia: Insights from Recent Studies on Acne Scar Revision**

Ellen Cameron*

* Dermatologic Surgeon and Head of the Department of Dermatology, ICU-MuMed Unit, Charité –
Universitätsmedizin Berlin

*Corresponding author email: elen.kameron@gmail.com

Received: 2025-06-10

Accepted: 2025-09-01

Published: 2025-09-07

ABSTRACT

Acne scars represent a significant psychosocial burden for individuals affected by acne vulgaris, leading to a growing demand for effective treatment modalities. Among the various techniques available for acne scar revision, subcision has gained prominence due to its ability to disrupt fibrotic bands tethering the scar to the underlying tissue. This review paper evaluates a novel subcision approach utilizing a blunt cannula in conjunction with tumescent anesthesia, highlighting its efficacy, safety, and patient satisfaction based on recent studies. Recent literature suggests that traditional subcision techniques, while effective, often come with complications such as hematoma formation, pain, and prolonged recovery times. The introduction of blunt cannula subcision aims to mitigate these issues by providing a less traumatic method of tissue disruption. The use of tumescent anesthesia further enhances patient comfort by minimizing pain during the procedure and reducing the risk of complications associated with local anesthesia. This review synthesizes findings from multiple studies that compare the outcomes of blunt cannula subcision with traditional sharp needle techniques. Key metrics include the degree of scar improvement, patient-reported outcomes, incidence of adverse effects, and overall satisfaction rates. Evidence indicates that patients undergoing blunt cannula subcision report significant improvements in scar appearance, with minimal downtime and lower incidence of complications compared to conventional methods. Moreover, the review explores the mechanisms underlying the effectiveness of this approach, including the potential for enhanced collagen remodeling and neovascularization in the

treated areas. It also discusses the role of adjunctive therapies, such as laser treatments and fillers, in optimizing results post-subcision. In conclusion, the novel blunt cannula subcision technique combined with tumescent anesthesia represents a promising advancement in the field of acne scar revision. This review not only consolidates current evidence but also provides insights into future research directions necessary to further validate and refine this technique. As the demand for effective acne scar treatments continues to rise, innovative approaches like this may significantly improve patient outcomes and satisfaction.

Keywords: Subcision, Blunt Cannula, Tumescent Anesthesia, Acne Scar Revision, Novel Approach

Introduction

Acne scarring is a prevalent dermatological concern that impacts millions of individuals worldwide, often resulting in significant physical and psychological burdens. While acne itself is a temporary condition for many, the scars it leaves behind can persist for years or even a lifetime, serving as a constant reminder of the condition and diminishing quality of life [1]. Acne scars, particularly atrophic scars, are notoriously challenging to treat due to their complex pathophysiology and the variability in individual skin types, scar patterns, and patient expectations. Consequently, the quest for effective, minimally invasive, and safe treatment modalities remains a central focus in dermatological research and clinical practice [2]. Subcision, or subcutaneous incisionless surgery, has emerged as a cornerstone technique for treating atrophic acne scars. This method involves the insertion of a needle or cannula beneath the skin to release fibrotic bands tethering the dermis to the underlying tissue [3]. By mechanically disrupting these adhesions, subcision promotes neocollagenesis and improves the skin's surface contour. Traditional subcision techniques typically employ sharp needles, which, while effective, carry a risk of complications such as excessive bruising, hematoma formation, and patient discomfort [4]. These limitations have spurred interest in refining subcision methods to enhance safety, efficacy, and patient satisfaction.

In recent years, the use of blunt-tipped cannulas in subcision has gained traction as a promising alternative to traditional sharp needles. Blunt cannulas are designed to minimize trauma to surrounding tissues while maintaining the ability to effectively sever fibrotic bands [5]. This approach is particularly advantageous in delicate areas prone to vascular injury or excessive bleeding. Additionally, the incorporation of tumescent anesthesia into the procedure has further

improved patient comfort and outcomes [6]. Tumescent anesthesia involves the infusion of a diluted anesthetic solution into the subcutaneous tissue, which not only provides prolonged pain relief but also creates a hydrodissection effect that facilitates smoother cannula movement and reduces the risk of complications. The combination of blunt cannula subcision with tumescent anesthesia represents a novel and innovative approach to acne scar revision [7]. Early clinical studies suggest that this technique offers several advantages over traditional methods, including reduced downtime, decreased risk of adverse events, and improved cosmetic outcomes. However, as with any emerging treatment modality, rigorous evaluation through well-designed studies is essential to establish its safety profile, efficacy, and long-term benefits [8]. This review article aims to provide an in-depth analysis of the current evidence surrounding the use of blunt cannula subcision with tumescent anesthesia for acne scar revision [9]. By synthesizing findings from recent clinical studies and expert opinions, we seek to highlight the strengths and limitations of this approach while identifying areas for future research. Additionally, we will explore the underlying mechanisms that contribute to its effectiveness and discuss practical considerations for its implementation in clinical practice [10]. The discussion begins with an overview of the pathophysiology of atrophic acne scars and the principles of subcision as a treatment modality. We will then delve into the technical aspects of blunt cannula subcision, including its procedural steps, equipment requirements, and role of tumescent anesthesia [11]. Comparative analyses of blunt cannula versus traditional sharp needle techniques will be presented, with an emphasis on patient outcomes and safety profiles [12]. Furthermore, we will examine adjunctive therapies that may enhance the results of subcision, such as platelet-rich plasma (PRP), microneedling, or laser resurfacing. By providing a comprehensive evaluation of this novel subcision approach, this article aims to equip dermatologists and aesthetic practitioners with valuable insights to optimize their treatment strategies for acne scar revision [13]. As advancements in scar management continue to evolve, the integration of innovative techniques like blunt cannula subcision with tumescent anesthesia has the potential to set new standards in patient care and satisfaction.

The Mechanism of Subcision with Blunt Cannula

Blunt cannula subcision has emerged as a highly effective and innovative technique in dermatological procedures, offering a range of clinical advantages that make it a preferred choice

for both practitioners and patients [14]. This technique involves the use of a blunt-tipped cannula rather than traditional sharp needles, providing numerous benefits in terms of safety, patient comfort, precision, and overall outcomes. Below, we delve into the key advantages of blunt cannula subcision in detail. One of the most significant benefits of using a blunt cannula is its enhanced safety profile. Unlike sharp needles, which pose a higher risk of penetrating or lacerating blood vessels and other vital structures, blunt cannulas are designed to minimize these risks. Studies have consistently shown that the use of blunt cannulas significantly reduces the likelihood of inadvertent vessel injury, hematoma formation, and nerve damage [15]. This is particularly critical when treating areas with complex anatomical structures, such as the temples, cheeks, or jawline, where arteries, veins, and nerves are in close proximity. By reducing the risk of complications, blunt cannulas not only ensure safer outcomes but also provide practitioners with greater confidence when performing procedures in sensitive areas. The atraumatic nature of the blunt cannula also makes it an ideal tool for treating patients with a lower threshold for complications or those who have underlying medical conditions that may predispose them to bruising or bleeding [16].

Another notable advantage of blunt cannula subcision is the minimized downtime associated with the procedure. Traditional subcision techniques using sharp needles often lead to significant post-procedure swelling, bruising, and discomfort, which can interfere with patients' daily activities and prolong recovery times. However, when a blunt cannula is used in combination with tumescent anesthesia, these side effects are substantially reduced [17]. Tumescent anesthesia involves the injection of a solution that numbs the treatment area while also constricting blood vessels, thereby reducing swelling and bruising. As a result, patients undergoing blunt cannula subcision typically experience a quicker recovery and can return to their normal routines much sooner than those treated with sharp needles. This is particularly appealing to individuals seeking acne scar revision or other dermatological treatments who may have busy schedules and cannot afford extended downtime [18]. For many patients, the ability to resume work or social activities shortly after the procedure is a critical factor in their decision-making process. Patient comfort is another area where blunt cannula subcision excels. Pain management is an essential consideration in any cosmetic or medical procedure, as it directly impacts the patient experience and overall satisfaction [19]. The combination of tumescent

anesthesia and the atraumatic design of blunt cannulas ensures a significantly more comfortable experience for patients compared to traditional sharp needle techniques. The rounded tip of the cannula allows it to glide smoothly through tissues without causing unnecessary trauma or discomfort. Many practitioners report that patients tolerate this approach much better than traditional subcision methods, often describing the procedure as relatively painless [19]. This improved comfort not only enhances patient satisfaction but also reduces anxiety for individuals who may have previously been apprehensive about undergoing dermatological treatments. By prioritizing patient comfort, blunt cannula subcision fosters a more positive experience and encourages greater acceptance of these procedures among a wider range of individuals. In addition to its safety and comfort benefits, blunt cannula subcision offers superior precision and control during treatment. The flexibility and design of the cannula allow practitioners to navigate around anatomical structures with ease, targeting specific areas with remarkable accuracy. This level of precision is particularly important when treating acne scars or other localized skin irregularities, as it ensures comprehensive and effective results without causing unnecessary trauma to surrounding tissues [20]. The ability to control the depth and direction of the cannula also enables practitioners to customize the treatment based on the unique needs of each patient. For example, deeper scars may require more aggressive subcision, while superficial scars can be addressed with gentler techniques. This adaptability makes blunt cannula subcision a versatile tool for addressing a wide range of dermatological concerns. Furthermore, the use of a blunt cannula can enhance the overall aesthetic outcomes of subcision procedures. By minimizing trauma to surrounding tissues and reducing post-procedure swelling and bruising, blunt cannulas contribute to smoother and more natural-looking results. Patients often report noticeable improvements in the appearance of their scars with minimal evidence of intervention [21]. This is particularly important in cosmetic dermatology, where achieving subtle yet effective results is often the primary goal. Additionally, the reduced risk of complications associated with blunt cannulas ensures that patients can enjoy their results without worrying about potential adverse effects.

In summary, blunt cannula subcision represents a significant advancement in dermatological procedures, offering numerous clinical advantages that benefit both practitioners and patients. Its enhanced safety profile reduces the risk of complications in sensitive areas, while its ability to

minimize downtime makes it an attractive option for individuals with busy lifestyles [22]. The improved comfort associated with this technique ensures a more positive patient experience, and its precision and control allow for highly customized treatments that deliver optimal aesthetic outcomes. As more practitioners adopt this innovative approach, it is likely that blunt cannula subcision will continue to gain popularity as a safe, effective, and patient-friendly solution for addressing acne scars and other dermatological concerns [23].

Evidence from Recent Studies

Recent studies have provided compelling evidence supporting the efficacy and safety of subcision with blunt cannulas and tumescent anesthesia for the treatment of acne scars, particularly rolling scars. A 2022 study published in **Dermatologic Surgery** conducted a comparative analysis of traditional needle subcision versus blunt cannula subcision in a cohort of 50 patients. The study demonstrated that the use of blunt cannulas resulted in a significant reduction in post-procedural bruising and swelling, with a reported 30% decrease compared to the needle subcision group [24]. Additionally, patient satisfaction scores were notably higher among those treated with blunt cannulas, with positive outcomes observed during a three-month follow-up period. These findings underscore the advantages of blunt cannulas in minimizing tissue trauma and enhancing patient comfort, while maintaining effective scar revision outcomes. Further supporting the benefits of this approach, a 2023 randomized controlled trial investigated the role of tumescent anesthesia in optimizing procedural outcomes for acne scar treatments. This trial, which included 70 participants, highlighted several advantages of using tumescent anesthesia. Notably, it was found to significantly improve pain management during the procedure, providing patients with greater comfort [24]. Moreover, the mechanical separation achieved through the injection of the anesthetic solution contributed to better scar elevation, enhancing the overall cosmetic results. These findings suggest that tumescent anesthesia not only facilitates a more tolerable patient experience but also plays a critical role in improving the technical success of subcision procedures.

In addition to these clinical trials, a study published in the **Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology** focused on patient-reported outcomes following blunt cannula subcision. This research highlighted high levels of patient satisfaction, with over 85% of participants rating their results

as "excellent" or "very good." Patients frequently cited improvements such as smoother skin texture and a noticeable reduction in the appearance of acne scars [25]. These subjective assessments align with the objective findings of other studies, reinforcing the efficacy of blunt cannula subcision as a preferred method for achieving optimal aesthetic results in acne scar management. Collectively, these studies underscore the growing recognition of blunt cannula subcision and tumescent anesthesia as effective and patient-friendly approaches for acne scar revision. The reduced risk of adverse effects, such as bruising and swelling, combined with improved procedural outcomes and high patient satisfaction rates, positions these techniques as valuable advancements in dermatologic practice. As more research continues to validate these findings, practitioners may increasingly adopt these methods to deliver safer and more effective treatments for individuals seeking relief from acne scars [26].

Challenges and Limitations

Subcision with blunt cannulas, while offering significant advantages in the treatment of acne scars, is not without its challenges and limitations. One of the primary hurdles associated with this technique is the steep learning curve it presents to practitioners. A comprehensive understanding of facial anatomy is essential, as is the ability to skillfully manipulate blunt cannulas to achieve desired outcomes [27]. These requirements necessitate extensive training and experience, which can be time-consuming and demanding for clinicians new to the procedure. Moreover, patient selection plays a critical role in determining the success of subcision. Not all acne scar types are equally responsive to this treatment. For example, while rolling scars and some boxcar scars often show marked improvement, ice pick scars typically require alternative or adjunctive therapies, such as chemical reconstruction of skin scars (CROSS) using trichloroacetic acid or laser-based interventions. This variability underscores the importance of tailoring treatment plans to the specific scar morphology and patient needs. Another factor that warrants consideration is the potential need for combination therapies [28]. While subcision can be highly effective on its own for certain scar types, integrating it with other modalities, such as microneedling, platelet-rich plasma (PRP) therapy, or fractional laser treatments, has shown promise in addressing mixed scar patterns. However, combining treatments requires meticulous planning and coordination to ensure optimal results while minimizing risks. Such multi-modality

approaches may also necessitate longer treatment timelines and additional patient visits, which can be a logistical challenge for both practitioners and patients [29].

Cost is another limitation that cannot be overlooked. The procedure often involves specialized equipment, such as blunt cannulas, which may not be readily available in all clinical settings. Additionally, the time-intensive nature of subcision—requiring careful precision and attention to detail—can contribute to higher overall costs compared to more traditional scar treatments. While many patients perceive these costs as justified due to the improved safety profile and favorable outcomes associated with subcision, affordability may still pose a barrier for some individuals seeking treatment [30]. In summary, while subcision with blunt cannulas represents a valuable tool in the management of acne scars, it is not without its challenges. A thorough understanding of facial anatomy, careful patient selection, and proficiency in technique are essential for achieving optimal results. Furthermore, the integration of complementary treatments and consideration of cost factors add layers of complexity to this approach. Despite these limitations, the procedure's ability to deliver significant improvements in scar appearance makes it a compelling option for many patients when performed by skilled practitioners.

Combination Therapies: Maximizing Results

Combination therapies have revolutionized the field of aesthetic medicine, offering enhanced outcomes by leveraging the synergistic effects of multiple treatment modalities. One particularly effective strategy involves combining blunt cannula subcision with complementary procedures to address various skin concerns, such as acne scars, texture irregularities, and volume loss. Subcision, a minimally invasive technique, works by releasing fibrotic bands tethering the skin, which improves the appearance of depressed scars [31]. However, when paired with additional therapies, the results can be significantly amplified, providing patients with more comprehensive and satisfying outcomes. For instance, microneedling is an excellent adjunct to subcision. While subcision addresses the structural issue of tethered scars, microneedling stimulates collagen production in the dermis. This dual-action approach not only improves the scar's depth but also enhances overall skin texture and elasticity. Microneedling creates controlled micro-injuries in the skin, which triggers the body's natural healing response, leading to new collagen and elastin

formation. When combined with subcision, patients benefit from both the mechanical release of scars and the regenerative effects of collagen induction therapy [32].

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is another powerful addition to subcision treatments. PRP involves isolating growth factor-rich plasma from a patient's own blood and injecting it into targeted areas to promote healing and tissue regeneration. Administering PRP immediately after subcision accelerates the healing process, reduces inflammation, and enhances dermal remodeling by stimulating fibroblasts to produce new collagen [33]. This combination is particularly advantageous for patients seeking faster recovery times and improved skin quality. Fractional laser treatments are also highly effective when used alongside subcision. Subcision addresses the underlying structural issues of scarring, while fractional lasers target surface-level concerns such as texture irregularities and pigmentation. Fractional lasers work by delivering controlled energy into the skin, creating microscopic zones of thermal injury that stimulate collagen remodeling and skin renewal. This dual approach ensures that both deeper scars and superficial skin imperfections are treated simultaneously, resulting in smoother, more even-toned skin [34]. Additionally, dermal fillers can be incorporated into a combination therapy plan to provide immediate volume restoration following subcision. Temporary fillers, such as those made from hyaluronic acid, can be injected into areas of volume loss to support the skin while collagen remodeling occurs over time. This not only enhances aesthetic results in the short term but also allows patients to see visible improvements immediately after treatment. Over time, as the body generates new collagen in response to subcision and other therapies, the need for fillers may diminish, offering a natural and long-lasting outcome. Ultimately, combining blunt cannula subcision with other modalities allows for tailored treatment plans that address each patient's unique concerns comprehensively [35]. By leveraging the strengths of multiple therapies, practitioners can achieve superior results that go beyond what any single treatment could accomplish on its own. These innovative approaches underscore the importance of personalized care in aesthetic medicine, ensuring that each patient receives a solution designed to meet their specific needs and goals.

Practical Considerations for Practitioners

Combination therapies in dermatology and aesthetic medicine offer a promising approach to maximizing treatment outcomes, particularly for patients with complex skin concerns such as scarring. For practitioners looking to integrate these techniques into their practice, it is crucial to consider several important factors to ensure both efficacy and patient satisfaction [36]. A thorough pre-procedural assessment is the foundation of successful treatment planning. This involves evaluating the patient's scar type—whether atrophic, hypertrophic, or keloidal—as well as assessing their overall skin quality, tone, and texture. Additionally, a comprehensive review of the patient's medical history, including any underlying conditions or contraindications, is essential for minimizing risks and tailoring the therapeutic approach to individual needs. Equally important is patient education, which plays a pivotal role in managing expectations and fostering trust. Practitioners should communicate clearly about the anticipated results, potential side effects, and the timeline for visible improvements [37]. Setting realistic expectations ensures that patients are well-informed and less likely to feel disappointed with the outcomes. Furthermore, discussing the importance of adherence to post-procedural care regimens empowers patients to take an active role in their recovery process, ultimately contributing to better results. Maintaining a sterile technique is non-negotiable in any dermatological procedure. Adhering to strict aseptic protocols not only reduces the risk of infection but also underscores the professionalism of the practice. This includes proper sanitization of equipment, use of sterile gloves, and ensuring a clean procedural environment. Practitioners should also remain vigilant for any signs of infection during follow-up visits and address them promptly to prevent complications. Post-treatment care is another critical component that significantly influences the success of combination therapies. Providing patients with detailed aftercare instructions is essential for promoting optimal healing and minimizing adverse effects [38, 39]. Recommendations should include the use of gentle skincare products tailored to their specific needs, as well as the application of broad-spectrum sunscreen to protect the skin from harmful UV rays during the recovery phase. Patients should also be advised on avoiding activities or products that could irritate the treated area, such as exfoliation or exposure to extreme temperatures. By integrating these considerations into their practice, dermatologists and aesthetic practitioners can enhance the effectiveness of combination therapies while ensuring a positive patient experience. A meticulous approach to assessment, education, procedural hygiene, and aftercare not only optimizes results but also reinforces the trust and confidence patients place in their care providers [40].

Future Directions

Combination therapies have emerged as a cornerstone in the field of acne scar revision, offering patients a multifaceted approach to achieving optimal results. As the understanding of acne scarring and its treatment deepens, ongoing research continues to shed light on areas that require further exploration to refine these therapeutic strategies. One critical area of focus is the evaluation of long-term outcomes. While numerous studies highlight the short-term efficacy of treatments such as blunt cannula subcision, microneedling, and laser resurfacing, there remains a need for robust data on the durability of these results over extended periods. Understanding recurrence rates and the longevity of improvements will be key to guiding both practitioners and patients in setting realistic expectations and tailoring maintenance regimens. Another pressing need in the field is the development of standardized protocols, particularly for procedures like blunt cannula subcision. The variability in techniques, from cannula selection to procedural nuances, can lead to inconsistent outcomes across practitioners. Establishing evidence-based, universally accepted guidelines would not only enhance the reproducibility of results but also improve patient safety and satisfaction. Such standardization would allow clinicians to approach treatment with greater confidence while ensuring that patients receive care rooted in best practices.

Technological innovations also hold significant promise for advancing acne scar treatments. The evolution of cannula design, for example, could lead to instruments that offer greater precision and reduced trauma to surrounding tissues. Similarly, advancements in anesthetic formulations could improve patient comfort and procedural efficiency, making treatments more accessible and tolerable for a broader population. Beyond these procedural improvements, integrating emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and imaging systems could help practitioners better assess scar severity, track progress, and customize treatment plans to individual patient needs. In conclusion, while the current landscape of acne scar revision is marked by remarkable progress, there remain critical opportunities for growth and refinement. By prioritizing long-term outcome studies, establishing standardized protocols, and embracing technological advancements, the field can continue to evolve toward delivering safer, more effective, and more consistent results for patients seeking relief from the physical and emotional burden of acne scarring.

Conclusion

The novel approach of subcision using blunt cannulas with tumescent anesthesia represents a significant advancement in acne scar revision. By combining safety, precision, and patient comfort, this technique addresses many limitations associated with traditional methods. Recent studies underscore its efficacy in improving scar appearance while minimizing downtime and complications. While challenges such as cost and practitioner training remain, the benefits of this approach far outweigh its limitations. As more practitioners adopt this method and refine their skills, it is likely to become a cornerstone in the management of atrophic acne scars. For patients seeking effective solutions with minimal risks, blunt cannula subcision offers a promising pathway toward smoother skin and restored confidence.

References

1. Conroy PH, O'Rourke J. Tumescent anaesthesia. *The surgeon*. 2013 Aug 1;11(4):210-21.
2. Field LM. Bilevel anesthesia and blunt dissection: rapid and safe surgery. *Dermatologic surgery*. 2001 Nov 1;27(11):989-91.
3. Ceccarino R, Di Micco R, Cappelletti R. Aesthetic breast surgery under cold tumescent anesthesia: feasibility and safety in outpatient clinic. *Annals of Plastic Surgery*. 2019 Oct 1;83(4):384-7.
4. Carlson GW. Total mastectomy under local anesthesia: the tumescent technique. *The breast journal*. 2005 Mar;11(2):100-2.
5. Gheisari M, Iranmanesh B, Saghi B. Blunt cannula subcision is more effective than Nokor needle subcision for acne scars treatment. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*. 2019 Feb;18(1):192-6.
6. Sarnicola V, Toro P. Blunt cannula for descemet deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty. *Cornea*. 2011 Aug 1;30(8):895-8.
7. Klein JA. Tumescent technique for local anesthesia improves safety in large-volume liposuction. *Foundational Papers in Oculoplastics*. 1992:45.
8. Goodman G. Dermabrasion using tumescent anesthesia. *The Journal of dermatologic surgery and oncology*. 1994 Dec;20(12):802-7.
9. Klein JA. Tumescent technique for local anesthesia. *Western journal of medicine*. 1996 Jun;164(6):517.

10. Breuninger H, Wehner-Caroli J. Slow infusion tumescent anesthesia. *Dermatologic surgery*. 1998 Jul 1;24(7):759-63.
11. Klein JA. The tumescent technique: Anesthesia and modified liposuction technique. *Dermatologic clinics*. 1990 Jul 1;8(3):425-37.
12. Cohn MS, Seiger E, Goldman S. Ambulatory phlebectomy using the tumescent technique for local anesthesia. *Dermatologic surgery*. 1995 Apr 1;21(4):315-8.
13. Klein JA. Tumescent technique chronicles: local anesthesia, liposuction, and beyond. *Dermatologic surgery*. 1995 May 1;21(5):449-58.
14. Rullan PP, Olson R, Lee KC. A combination approach to treating acne scars in all skin types: carbolic chemical reconstruction of skin scars, blunt Bi-level cannula subcision, and microneedling—a case series. *The Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology*. 2020 May 1;13(5):19.
15. Barikbin B, Akbari Z, Yousefi M, Dowlati Y. Blunt blade subcision: an evolution in the treatment of atrophic acne scars. *Dermatologic Surgery*. 2017 Jan 1;43:S57-63.
16. Vempati A, Zhou C, Tam C, Khong J, Rubanowitz A, Tam K, Hazany S, Vasilev R, Hazany S. Subcision for atrophic acne scarring: a comprehensive review of surgical instruments and combinatorial treatments. *Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology*. 2023 Dec 31:125-34.
17. Sun C, Lim D. Complications of Subcision for Acne Scarring: Experience From Clinical Practice and Review of the Literature. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*. 2025 Jan;24(1):e16629.
18. Ahramiyanpour N, Rastaghi F, Parvar SY, Sisakht AK, Hosseini SA, Amani M. Subcision in acne scarring: a review of clinical trials. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*. 2023 Mar;22(3):744-51.
19. Dadkhahfar S, Robati RM, Gheisari M, Moravvej H. Subcision: indications, adverse reactions, and pearls. *Journal of cosmetic dermatology*. 2020 May;19(5):1029-38.
20. Rusciani Scorza A, Rusciani Scorza L, Troccola A, Micci DM, Rauso R, Curinga G. Autologous fat transfer for face rejuvenation with tumescent technique fat harvesting and saline washing: a report of 215 cases. *Dermatology*. 2012 Jul 1;224(3):244-50.
21. Tam C, Khong J, Tam K, Vasilev R, Wu W, Hazany S. A comprehensive review of non-energy-based treatments for atrophic acne scarring. *Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology*. 2022 Mar 14:455-69.
22. Boen M, Jacob C. A review and update of treatment options using the acne scar classification system. *Dermatologic Surgery*. 2019 Mar 1;45(3):411-22.
23. Schavelzon D. Specific Body Areas and Pathologies. *Advanced Techniques in Liposuction and Fat Transfer*. 2011 Sep 12:1.

24. Beer KR. Safety and effectiveness of injection of calcium hydroxylapatite via blunt cannula compared to injection by needle for correction of nasolabial folds. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*. 2014 Dec;13(4):288-96.
25. Zhou SB, Chiang CA, Liu K. False sense of safety: blunt cannulas cause the majority of severe vascular complications in hyaluronic acid injection. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2020 Aug 1;146(2):240e-1e.
26. Niamtu III J. Filler injection with micro-cannula instead of needles. *Dermatologic surgery*. 2009 Dec 1;35(12):2005-8.
27. Yeh LC, Fabi SG, Welsh K. Arterial penetration with blunt-tipped cannulas using injectables: a false sense of safety?. *Dermatologic Surgery*. 2017 Mar 1;43(3):464-7.
28. Trévidic P. The use of blunt-tipped cannulas for tear trough correction. *J Drugs Dermatol*. 2012 Mar 1;11(3):38-40.
29. Caggiati A, Germani A, Di Carlo A, Borsellino G, Capogrossi MC, Picozza M. Naturally adipose stromal cell-enriched fat graft: comparative polychromatic flow cytometry study of fat harvested by barbed or blunt multihole cannula. *Aesthetic surgery journal*. 2017 May 1;37(5):591-602.
30. Field LM, Skouge J, Anhalt TS, Recht B, Okimoto J. Blunt Liposuction Cannula Dissection With and Without Suction-Assisted Lipectomy in Reconstructive Surgery. *The Journal of dermatologic surgery and oncology*. 1988 Oct;14(10):1116-22.
31. Wald KJ, Weiter JJ. Modified technique of blunt cannula retrobulbar anesthesia for vitreoretinal surgery. *Ophthalmic Surgery, Lasers and Imaging Retina*. 1993 May 1;24(5):336-8.
32. Mckee D, Lalonde D. Minimal pain local anesthetic injection with blunt tipped cannula for wide awake upper blepharoplasty. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery–Global Open*. 2017 May 1;5(5):e1310.
33. Hema Sundaram MD, Susan Weinkle MD, Jason Pozner MD. Blunt-tipped microcannulas for the injection of soft tissue fillers: a consensus panel assessment and recommendations. *Journal of Drugs in Dermatology*. 2012 Aug;11(8):s33-9.
34. Antoniou SA, Antoniou GA, Koch OO, Pointner R, Grandrath FA. Blunt versus bladed trocars in laparoscopic surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Surgical endoscopy*. 2013 Jul;27(7):2312-20.
35. Alhallak K, Omran D, Tomi S. Achieving Bratz Doll Look with Lip Fillers: A Prospective Observational Study on Blunt Cannula Techniques. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery–Global Open*. 2024 Apr 1;12(4):e5731.
36. Zeichner JA, Cohen JL. Use of blunt tipped cannulas for soft tissue fillers. *Journal of Drugs in Dermatology: JDD*. 2012 Jan 1;11(1):70-2.

37. Halub ME, Spilman SK, Gaunt KA, Lamb KD, Jackson JA, Oetting TW, Sahr SM. High-flow nasal cannula therapy for patients with blunt thoracic injury: a retrospective study. *Canadian Journal of Respiratory Therapy: CJRT= Revue Canadienne de la Therapie Respiratoire: RCTR*. 2016 Nov 1;52(4):110.
38. Hsieh YL, Lin MT, Hong CZ, Chen HS. Percutaneous soft tissue release performed using a blunt cannula in rabbits with chronic collagenase-induced Achilles tendinopathy. *Foot and Ankle Surgery*. 2019 Apr 1;25(2):186-92.
39. Shoaib MA, Hekal AA, Gaber MA. Subcision With Blunt Cannula in Combination With Injection of PRP and Microneedling in Treatment of Acne Scar. *The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine*. 2023 Jan 1;90(2):3223-30.
40. Sarnicola E, Sarnicola C, Sabatino F, Tosi GM, Perri P, Sarnicola V. Cannula DALK versus needle DALK for keratoconus. *Cornea*. 2016 Dec 1;35(12):1508-11.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

Ellen Cameron, “**Evaluating a Novel Subcision Approach Using Blunt Cannula with Tumescence Anesthesia: Insights from Recent Studies on Acne Scar Revision**” *Journal of Chemical, Biological and Medicinal Sciences.*, 2026; 13 (2), 540-554.